

# Changes in REACH requirements and implications

IDEA Working Group meeting on the inclusion of animal testing alternatives into QRA for skin sensitisation – 26 April 2016

Laura Rossi, ECHA (Evaluation)





### **REACH Committee voting 20 April 2016**

- Currently, standard information requirement is in vivo skin sensitisation test according to LLNA
- On 20 April 2016 REACH Committee voted on the revision of skin sensitisation information requirements

(http://ec.europa.eu/transparency/regcomitology/index.cfm?do=search.dossierdetai l&d+sMcTgQ8srjWjmmShg+GNN/RF3yZkLQq6UcVWvaXEWVl5Yg9827r2pFAHfU6zyn)

- Major changes included
  - In case of skin sensitisation effects noted, assessment whether the substance is presumed to be a strong sensitiser (Cat 1A) or not
  - Generation of new data shall start with non-animal testing methods, unless it can be justified that the test methods are not suitable for the substance or for C&L and risk assessment.

echa.europa.eu

2



## **Implications**

 Good in sense of promoting non-animal testing approaches and moving forward with the scientific developments

#### Difficulties:

- No formally approved way to address potency, few approaches proposed in the public literature
  - · Implications for the registrants and regulators
- CLP criteria based on in chemico/in vitro methods missing, however the general criteria of CLP allows the use of e.g. in vitro methods (Art. 5(1), 7(1), 8 and 9(1) of CLP Regulation) → inclusion into CLP (GHS) needed.

echa.europa.eu

3



## What is needed, the way forward

- As the new information requirement will came into force in Autumn 2016, reliable way to address potency is needed as soon as possible.
- To select most predictive approaches based on current knowledge and width of data sets available
  → however what would be the best way to get "formal" regulatory acceptability?
  - More peer-reviewed publications?
  - Narrowing the scope of the approach by substance types, as currently approaches mainly use the whole data set as it is?
  - Involving member states, and if so, in which context?

echa.europa.eu



## Thank you!

laura.rossi@echa.europa.eu

Subscribe to our news at echa.europa.eu/subscribe

Follow us on Twitter @EU\_ECHA

Follow us on Facebook Facebook.com/EUECHA

